RENE L. VALLADARES
Federal Public Defender
MONIQUE KIRTLEY
Assistant Federal Public Defender
411 E. Bonneville, Ste. 250
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 388-6577/Phone
(702) 388-6261/Fax

Attorney for ANDREI RAILEANU

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ANDREI RAILEANU,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:15-cr-250-KJD-NJK 2:13-cr-038-APG-PAL

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE MOTION, TRIAL AND REVOCATION DATES AND CONSOLIDATE CASES (First Request)

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Daniel G. Bogden, United States Attorney, and Kimberly M. Frayn, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United Stated of America, and Rene L. Valladares, Federal Public Defender, and MONIQUE KIRTLEY, Assistant Federal Public Defender, counsel for ANDREI RAILEANU, that the calendar call currently scheduled for Tuesday, October 27, 2015 at 9:00 a.m.., and the trial scheduled for Monday, November 2, 2015 at 9:00 a.m., be vacated and set to a date and time convenient to this court but no longer than forty five (45) days; and that the revocation hearing for Case No. 2:13-cr-038-APG-PAL scheduled for Wednesday, December 9, 2014 at 11:00 a.m., be vacated and continued so that both cases may be consolidated before Judge Andrew P. Gordon.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED, that the parties herein shall have to and including November 9, 2015, to file any and all pretrial motions and notices of defense.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties, that they shall have to and including November 23, 2015, to file any and all responsive pleadings.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties, that they shall have to and including November 30, 2015, to file any and all replies to dispositive motions.

The Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

- 1. The client is in custody and does not oppose the continuance.
- 2. The parties are in the process of negotiating the details of a global resolution in case number 2:15-cr-250-KJD-NJK and the revocation petition in case 2:13-cr-038-APG-PAL having agreed the two cases are inextricably linked and should be consolidated.
- 3. Denial of this request for continuance would deny counsel for the defendant sufficient time to effectively and thoroughly prepare and submit pretrial motions and notices of defense, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 4. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, § 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code §§ 3161(h)(7)(B).

This is the First stipulation to continue filed herein.

DATED this 29th day of September, 2015

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ANDREI RAILEANU,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:15-cr-250-KJD-NJK 2:13-cr-038-APG-PAL

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- 1. The client is in custody and does not oppose the continuance.
- 2. The parties are in the process of negotiating the details of a global resolution in case number 2:15-cr-250-KJD-NJK and the revocation petition in case 2:13-cr-038-APG-PAL having agreed the two cases are inextricably linked and should be consolidated.
- 3. Denial of this request for continuance would deny counsel for the defendant sufficient time to effectively and thoroughly prepare and submit pretrial motions and notices of defense, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 4. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, § 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code §§ 3161(h)(7)(B).

This is the First stipulation to continue filed herein.

1

2345

7 8

9

6

10

1112

1314

1516

1718

1920

2122

23

2425

26

27

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance and consolidation outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance and consolidation would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excusable under the Speedy Trial Act, title 18, United States Code, Section § 3161 (h)(7)(A), when the considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the two cases 2:15-cr-250-KJD-NJK and 2:13-cr-038-APG-PAL be consolidated and combined before the honorable Judge Andrew P. Gordon.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall have to and including November 9, 2015 to file any and all pretrial motions and notice of defense.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall have to and including November 23, 2015 to file any all responses.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall have to and including November 30, 2015 to file any and all replies.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the calendar call currently scheduled for Tuesday, October 27, 2015 at 9:00 a.m., be vacated and continued to December 30, 2015 at 100 a.m. at the hour of 8:45 am, and the trial currently scheduled for Monday, November 2, 2015 at 9:00 a.m., at the hour of 9:00 a.m., be vacated and continued to January 4, 2016 at the hour of 9:00 am and the revocation hearing be reset for December 29, 2015 at 9:30 a.m.,

DATED this 30th day of September, 2015

G.F.

UNITES STATES DISTRICT JUDGE